Lectures 14-15: Beam Theory: Architecture for Cells and Skeletons

Lecturer: Brigita Urbanc Office: 12-909 (E-mail: brigita@drexel.edu)

Course website: www.physics.drexel.edu/~brigita/COURSES/BIOPHYS_2011-2012/

11/10-12/2011

Beam Theory:

- → macromolecules can be viewed as networks of onedimensional elements (chains of amino acids, chains of base pairs, ...)
- → beam theory addresses the mechanics of these networks
- → a bead treated as a rod with elastic properties



Figure 10.1 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

Three types of deformations

Bending of a beam: a neutral plane



Figure 10.2 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

Imagine a beam split up in segments each with own curvature



Figure 10.4 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

11/10-12/2011

Note that the extent of extension or compression is a linear function of the distance from the neutral plane z:

$$\theta = \frac{L_0}{R} \qquad L(z) = (R+z)\theta = \frac{(R+z)L_0}{R}$$
$$\Delta L(z) = L(z) - L_0 = (R+z)\frac{L_0}{R} - L_0 = z\frac{L_0}{R}$$

Extensional strain $\mathcal{E}(Z)$ at a distance z is defined as:

 $W(\varepsilon) = \frac{1}{2} E \varepsilon^{2} = \frac{1}{2} E \left(\frac{\Delta L}{L_{0}}\right)^{2}$

$$\varepsilon(z) = \frac{\Delta L(z)}{L_0} = \frac{z}{R}$$

such that material above the neutral axis is stretched, $\mathcal{E}(z) > 0$, and material below the neutral axis is compressed, $\mathcal{E}(z) < 0$.

The energy cost is a quadratic function of the strain (Hooke's law):

11/10-12/2011

A more precise calculation of the total strain energy of the beam:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{bend}} = \mathsf{L}_0 \int_{\partial \Omega} \mathsf{d} \mathsf{A} \frac{\mathsf{E}}{2 \,\mathsf{R}^2} \mathsf{z}^2 = \frac{\mathsf{EIL}}{2 \,\mathsf{R}^2}$$



Figure 10.6 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)



$$E_{bend} = \frac{EIL}{2R^2}$$
 For L=2 π R, we get: $E_{loop} = \frac{\pi EI}{R}$

We can introduce flexural rigidity:

Then the energy of bending is:

In general, we can express the bending energy in terms of a curvature:

And we finally get:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{bend}} = \frac{\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{eff}}}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathsf{L}} \left| \frac{\mathsf{dt}}{\mathsf{ds}} \right|^{2} \mathsf{ds} \qquad \left| \frac{\mathsf{dt}}{\mathsf{ds}} \right| \dots \mathsf{derivative} \mathsf{of the tangent vector}$$

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{bend}} = \frac{\mathsf{K}_{\mathsf{eff}}}{2} \int_{0}^{\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{ds} \frac{1}{\mathsf{R}(\mathsf{s})^2}$$
$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\mathsf{R}(\mathsf{s})}$$

 $K_{eff} = EI$

Persistence Length and Stiffness

- > persistence length is a measure of the competition between the entropy ("randomizer") and energy cost of bending
- → equate the deterministic energy cost to thermal energy:



- → persistence length is the length of a polymer for which the radius of curvature is equal to the length of polymer itself: $\xi_P \approx R \approx L$
- → estimate of the persistence length:

$$\xi_{\rm P} \approx \frac{{\sf EI}}{2{\sf k}_{\rm B}{\sf T}}$$

Persistence length characterizes the correlations in the tangent vectors at different positions along the polymer



Figure 10.7 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)



General properties of the tangent-tangent correlation function:

$$g(s=0)=1 \qquad g(s \to \infty)=0$$

$$g(s)=\langle \mathbf{t}(s) \cdot \mathbf{t}(0) \rangle = e^{-s/\xi_{P}}$$

Relationship between flexural rigidity and persistence length:

- → short beam of length: $L \approx S \ll \xi_P$
- → short beam is only slightly bent:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{bend}} = \frac{\mathsf{EIL}}{2\mathsf{R}^2} \approx \frac{\mathsf{EI}}{2\mathsf{s}} \theta^2 \quad (\mathsf{s} = \mathsf{R}\theta)$$

→ if a tangent at s=0 points in the z-direction, then:

$$g(\mathbf{s}) = \langle \cos\theta(\mathbf{s}) \rangle \qquad \theta \ll 1 \implies \cos\theta \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2$$
$$g(\mathbf{s}) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2}\langle \theta^2 \rangle$$

11/10-12/2011

Calculate:
$$\langle \theta(\mathbf{s})^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta \theta^2 e^{-(EI/2k_B T \mathbf{s})\theta^2}$$

 $Z = \int_0^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_0^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta e^{-(EI/2k_B T \mathbf{s})\theta^2}$
We apply the same trick as before:

$$\langle \theta(\mathbf{s})^2 \rangle = -\frac{1}{Z} \frac{2\kappa_{\rm B} \mathbf{r} \mathbf{s}}{\mathbf{I}} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \mathbf{E}}$$

Thus, the only integral we really need to calculate is:

$$Z = \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \sin\theta e^{-(EI/2k_{B}Ts)\theta^{2}} \approx \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\Phi \int_{0}^{\pi} d\theta \theta e^{-(EI/2k_{B}Ts)\theta^{2}}$$

where we used the Taylor expansion for $\sin\theta \approx \theta$
$$Z = \frac{2\pi k_{B}Ts}{EI} \int_{0}^{\infty} du e^{-u} = \frac{2\pi k_{B}Ts}{EI} \qquad \frac{1}{Z} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial E} = -\frac{1}{E}$$

$$\theta(s)^{2} \rangle = \frac{2k_{B}Ts}{EI} \qquad \langle g(s) \rangle \approx 1 - \frac{k_{B}T}{EI}s = 1 - \frac{s}{\xi_{P}} \qquad \xi_{P} = \frac{EI}{k_{B}T} = \frac{K_{eff}}{k_{B}T}$$

11/10-12/2011

The Worm-Like Chain Model

- contains both the entropic and elastic bending contributions
 to the free energy
- > concept: chains are cylinders connected by flexible links

11/10-12/2011

To calculate the force-extension curve, we need to include the work done by the external force F (along the z-direction), which adds:

 $-F \int_0^L t_z ds$ such that an average change extension can be calculated as:

$$\langle z \rangle = \frac{1}{Z(f)} \int Dt(s) z \exp\left(\frac{-\xi_{P}}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \left|\frac{dt(s)}{ds}\right|^{2} ds + f \int_{0}^{L} t_{z} ds\right)$$

Z(f) ... partition function in the presence of the force $F = k_B T f$ (f has a unit of inverse length)

Again, the same trick can be used to avoid a calculation of two path integrals:

$$\langle z \rangle = \frac{d \ln Z(f)}{df} \rightarrow approx. solution: f \xi_P = \frac{z}{L} + \frac{1}{4(1-z/L)^2} - \frac{1}{4}$$

(Appendix of Ch. 10)

11/10-12/2011

Force-Extension Curve for a Worm-Like Chain Model



Figure 10.38 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)



The mechanics of transcriptional regulation

- → *lac* operon: genetic network that regulates the lactose metabolism in *E. coli*
- → consider lac repressor, a protein, which represses transcription
 by binding to DNA and initiating DNA loop formation
- → Lac repressor: a tetrameric protein with three specific binding sites: O1, O2, and O3; loops can be either 401 or 92 bp long (401 x 0.34 nm = 136 nm; 92 x 0.34 nm = 31 nm < 50 nm)</p>
- → in eukaryotes, there are cis-regulatory regions that control developmental process by DNA loop formation
- → bending of a DNA fragment that is smaller than the persistence length ξ_P !





PHYS 461 & 561, Fall 2011-2012

11/10-12/2011

Energetics of DNA looping

Bending energy of a loop with a radius R was calculated already:

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{loop}} = \frac{\xi_\mathsf{P} \pi \mathsf{k}_\mathsf{B} \mathsf{T}}{\mathsf{R}}$$

For a DNA loop of length L, we take into account the following:

$$L=2\pi R \rightarrow R=\frac{L}{2\pi}=\frac{\delta N_{bp}}{2\pi}$$
 where $\delta=0.34$ nm

to obtain a more convenient bending energy of a DNA loop:

$$\frac{\mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{loop}}}{\mathsf{k}_{\mathsf{B}}\mathsf{T}} = \frac{2\pi^2}{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{bp}}} \left(\frac{\xi_{\mathsf{P}}}{\delta}\right) \approx \frac{3000}{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{bp}}}$$

expressed in terms of the number of base pairs. We used the estimate for the persistence length:

 $\xi_P = 50 \text{ nm}$

The bending energy of DNA loops as a function of the number of base pairs in the DNA



Figure 10.10 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

11/10-12/2011

Free Energy Estimate for DNA Looping: Elastic Energy Combined with Entropic Contribution

- → long DNA fragments: entropic contribution unfavorable
- > short DNA fragment: bending contribution unfavorable
- → elastic and entropic contributions:

$$\Delta E_{loop} \approx \frac{3000 \, k_{B} \, T}{N_{bp}}$$

$$p_{0} \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{bp}^{3}}} \rightarrow \Delta S_{loop} = k_{B} \ln p_{0} = -k_{B} (\frac{3}{2} \ln N_{bp} + \text{const})$$

→ result in the total free energy change upon DNA looping: $\Delta G_{loop} = \Delta E_{loop} - T S_{loop} \approx k_{B} T \left(\frac{3000}{N_{bp}} + \frac{3}{2} \ln N_{bp} + \text{const} \right)$

The looping free energy as a function of the number of base pairs as derived within a simple toy model



Figure 10.11 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)



Experimental data and the worm-like chain (WLC) model predict a slightly smaller favorable loop length



Figure 10.12 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

11/10-12/2011

What is the J-factor?

Cyclization experiments report a quantity J [in units of Concentration]:

$$J \propto e^{-\beta \Delta G_{loop}}$$

So that the minimum of the free energy of looping is equivalent to the maximum of J.

DNA Packing: From Viruses to Eukaryotes



The DNA Packing Compaction Ratio

- a) lambda phage with spherical capsid of radius of 27 nm holding 48,500 base pairs: $v = \frac{N_{bp} nm^3}{\frac{4}{3}\pi R^3 nm^3} \approx \frac{5 \times 10^4}{4 \times 27^3} \approx 0.6$
- b) bacterial nucleoid: a sphere of radius 0.25 µm holding 5 x 10⁶ base pairs: $v \approx \frac{5 \times 10^6}{4 \times 250^3} \approx 0.1$
- c) human sperm cell with a spherical nucleus of radius of 2.5 µm holding 10⁹ base pairs: $v \approx \frac{10^9}{4 \times 2500^3} \approx 0.02$

d) human fibroblast nucleus of radius of 5 µm holding 10⁹
base pairs:
$$v \approx \frac{10^9}{4 \times 5000^3} \approx 0.002$$

PHYS 461 & 561, Fall 2011-2012

11/10-12/2011

The Problem of Viral DNA Packing (check "viper.com" for a databank of viral structures)



Cryo-electron microscopy images of packaged DNA



Figure 10.14 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

DNA shells

capsid volume :
$$V_{capsid} \approx L_{DNA} d_S^2 \rightarrow d_S \propto \frac{1}{L_{DNA}^{1/2}}$$



Figure 10.15 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

Approximately correct scaling, though not on a large range



11/10-12/2011

Why does the DNA packing in viruses require energy?

- > the entropic spring effect (DNA tends to spread out)
- → elastic bending on the scale smaller than the persistence length of 50 nm
- > strongly negatively charged DNA (tends to avoid itself)
- → the entropic contribution is about 10-times smaller than the bending and electrostatic free energy associated with DNA packing (in viruses):

$$\mathbf{G}_{tot}(\mathbf{d}_{s}, \mathbf{L}) \!\approx\! \mathbf{G}_{bend}(\mathbf{d}_{s}, \mathbf{L}) \!+\! \mathbf{G}_{charge}(\mathbf{d}_{s}, \mathbf{L})$$

→ the force that resists the packing is then:

$$F = \frac{-dG_{tot}(d_s, L)}{dL}$$

How can we measure the force needed to pack the DNA?



Figure 10.18 Physical Biology of the Cell (© Garland Science 2009)

PHYS 461 & 561, Fall 2011-2012

100

80

Elastic Bending Contribution to the Free Energy: Circular Hoops

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{E}_{\mathsf{loop}} &= \frac{\pi \, \mathsf{E}\,\mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{R}} = \frac{\pi \, \xi_\mathsf{P}\,\mathsf{k}_\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{T}}{\mathsf{R}} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{bend}} = \pi \, \xi_\mathsf{P}\,\mathsf{k}_\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{T}\,\sum_{i} \frac{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{R}_i)}{\mathsf{R}_i} \\ \sum_i &\to \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}} \int \,\mathsf{d}\,\mathsf{R}\,' \qquad \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}\,...\,\mathsf{distance \,between \,DNA \,strands} \\ \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{bend}} &= \frac{2\pi \, \xi_\mathsf{P}\,\mathsf{k}_\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{T}}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}} \int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{out}} \frac{\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{R}\,')}{\mathsf{R}\,'}\,\mathsf{d}\,\mathsf{R}\,' \\ \mathsf{DNA \,length} : \mathsf{L} &= \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}} \int_{\mathsf{R}}^{\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{out}} 2\pi \,\mathsf{R}\,'\mathsf{N}(\mathsf{R}\,')\,\mathsf{d}\,\mathsf{R}\,' \\ \mathsf{cylindrical \,capsid \,of \,height \,z\,,radius \,\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{out}\,: \\ \mathsf{G}_{\mathsf{bend}}(\mathsf{R}) &= \frac{2\pi \, \xi_\mathsf{P}\,\mathsf{k}_\mathsf{B}\,\mathsf{T}\,\mathsf{z}}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}^2} \,\ln\!\!\left(\frac{\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{out}}{\mathsf{R}\,}\right) \qquad \mathsf{L}(\mathsf{R}) \!= \!\frac{2\pi \,\mathsf{z}}{\sqrt{3}\,\mathsf{d}_\mathsf{s}^2}(\mathsf{R}_\mathsf{out}^2 \!-\!\!\mathsf{R}^2) \end{split}$$

11/10-12/2011

The second equation for L(R) can be used to express R:

$$R = R_{out} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\sqrt{3} d_s^2 L}{2 \pi z R_{out}^2}}$$

And the final expression for the free energy of bending:

$$\mathbf{G}_{\text{bend}} = \frac{-\pi \xi_{\text{P}} \mathbf{k}_{\text{B}} \mathsf{T} z}{\sqrt{3} \, \mathsf{d}_{\text{s}}^2} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3} \, \mathsf{d}_{\text{s}}^2 \mathsf{L}}{2\pi \, z \, \mathsf{R}_{\text{out}}^2} \right)$$

And the force associated with accumulated bending energy:



Electrostatic Contribution to the Free Energy of DNA Packing In Viruses

- → experiment that measures osmotic pressure versus
 DNA spacing d_s
- → assume that parallel strands interact via a pair potential per unit length (only nearest neighbors): v(d_s)
- → if we experimentally determine the pressure, we can compute the interaction energy and obtain the pair potential v(d_s)



Figure 6.22 Physical Biology of the Cell ($\mbox{$\bigcirc$}$ Garland Science 2009)

Consider N parallel strands of length I, each packed into a hexagonal array with a spacing $d_s: G_{charge} = 3 N I_V(d_s)$

(a factor of $3 = 1/2 \ge 6$ for 6 nearest neighbors in the array)

The volume of the assembly is: $V = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} N d_s^2 I$

The pressure can be calculated as:

$$p(d_s) = \frac{-dG_{charge}}{dV}, \quad dV = NI\sqrt{3} d_s dd_s$$

So that the force is:
$$f(d_s) = \frac{d\nu(d_s)}{dd_s} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}p(d_s)d_s$$

Experimental observation was (Textbook, page 245): -d /c

$$p(d_s) = F_0 e^{-a_s}$$

11/10-12/2011

Which leads us to the final expressions:

$$v(d_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} F_0(c^2 + c d_s) e^{-d_s/c}$$
$$G_{charge} = \sqrt{3} F_0(c^2 + c d_s) L e^{-d_s/c}, \qquad L = NI... \text{ total DNA length}$$

This electrostatic contribution can be experimentally controlled Through ionic concentration, which affects the energy through F_0 whereas $c \approx 0.27$ nm is more or less constant over a wide range of salt conditions.

The total energy associated with DNA packing in viruses is:

$$G_{tot} = G_{bend} + G_{charge}$$

$$G_{tot} = \frac{-\pi \xi_{P} k_{B} T z}{\sqrt{3} d_{s}^{2}} \ln \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{3} d_{s}^{2} L}{2\pi z R_{out}^{2}} \right) + \sqrt{3} F_{0} (c^{2} + c d_{s}) L e^{-d_{s}/c}$$

11/10-12/2011

We need to find the optimal spacing by minimizing the total free energy (at fixed DNA length) with respect to the spacing:

$$\frac{\partial \, \mathbf{G}_{tot}}{\partial \, \mathbf{d}_{s}} = 0 \qquad \rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{d}_{s} = \mathbf{d}_{s}(\mathbf{L})$$

Then use this relationship in the expression for the force F(L):

